



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/858,336	05/15/2001	Philip R. Patterson	P0366	1102
23735	7590	07/06/2004	EXAMINER	
DIGIMARC CORPORATION 19801 SW 72ND AVENUE SUITE 250 TUALATIN, OR 97062			WANG, JIN CHENG	
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		2672
DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/858,336	PATTERSON ET AL.
	Examiner Jin-Cheng Wang	Art Unit 2672

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE \_\_\_\_ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 March 2004.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 9-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 9-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____.

**DETAILED ACTION**

**Response to Amendment**

1. The amendment filed on 3/26/2004 has been entered. Claims 1-8 have been cancelled. Claims 9-12, 15-18, 22-23, and 28 have been amended. Claims 9-29 are pending in the application.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zhao et al. U.S. Pat. No. 6,141,753 (hereinafter Zhao).

4. Claim 9:

Zhao teaches a method for managing images, the images including a first image comprising a first identifier steganographically embedded in the first image in the form of a digital watermark, said method comprising:

Retrieving the first image from a database (e.g., retrieving the digital representation with the first fingerprint watermark stored in work storage 105; column 7-8 and 11);

Altering the first image to create a second image (e.g., removing all but the two most recent fingerprint watermarks when it stores the revised digital representation in work storage 105; column 7-8);

Steganographically embedding a second identifier in the second image in the form of a digital watermark (e.g., steganographically embedding the encryption keys including the new and old public watermarks, and/or secret owner identifier watermarks, and/or two symmetrical keys, in the modified digital representation before distribution to a new user; column 5-6; 7-8 and 11);

Associating the second image in the database with the first identifier (e.g., the second image with a new key is stored in the work storage 105 and is associated with the first fingerprint watermark because the second image has the first fingerprint watermark embedded within it; column 7-8).

Claim 10:

The claim 10 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 9 except additional claimed limitation of removing the first identifier from the second image. However, Zhao further discloses the claimed limitation of removing the first identifier from the second image (e.g., Zhao teaches removing all but the two most recent fingerprint watermarks when it stores the revised digital representation in work storage 105; column 7-8).

Claim 11:

The claim 11 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 9 except additional claimed limitation of altering the first identifier in the second image. However, Zhao further discloses the claimed limitation of altering the first identifier in the second image (e.g., Zhao teaches removing all but the two most recent fingerprint watermarks when it stores the revised digital representation in work storage 105 and thereby at least one of the two fingerprint watermarks associated with the second image is altered; column 7-8).

Claim 12:

The claim 12 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 9 except additional claimed limitation of storing information related to the first image in the database. However, Zhao further discloses the claimed limitation of storing information related to the first image in the database (e.g., the copy server maintains a database of transactions, user identifiers, and keys. The copy server will use the user identifier and a transaction identifier to locate the re-encryption key that was downloaded to copy client with the digital representation; column 7-8).

Claim 13:

The claim 13 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 12 except additional claimed limitation of the related information comprising at least one of metadata, location, date, permission level, security access levels, analyst comments, notes, files, and past usage information. However, Zhao further discloses the claimed limitation of the related information comprising at least one of metadata, location, date, permission level, security access

levels, analyst comments, notes, files, and past usage information (such as use limitation or use information, permission for various uses; column 5-6, 7-8 and 10).

Claim 14:

The claim 14 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 13 except additional claimed limitation of the database comprising a plurality of databases.

However, Zhao further discloses the claimed limitation of the database comprising a plurality of databases (the database storing the identifiers and keys and the database storing the digital representation in the work storage 105; column 7-8).

5. Claims 15-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stefik et al. U.S. Pat. No. 6,233,684 (hereinafter Stefik).

6. Claim 15:

Stefik teaches a method to monitor images in a system, the system comprising at least a first user terminal to communicate with a second user terminal and with a database, the images comprising at least a first image digitally watermarked to include a first identifier, said method comprising:

Determining a security level (e.g., a security level associated with a usage right in a set of usage rights for the same digital work) associated with the first image (the first image being the digital work in an encrypted form; column 9, 12-15);

Comparing the first image security level (set of usage rights associated with the same digital work wherein the watermark are embedded in the digital work in an encrypted form; the

digital work having different levels of encryption and “scrambling”; column 12-15 and 17) with a user security level (e.g., *consumer must have an authorizing digital license to access or copy or transfer the digital work to avoid unauthorized copying and the consumer possesses a digital certificate which contains the watermark information; security and watermark capabilities of the user's terminal are checked; column 12-15 and 17*); and

Allowing access (by the consumer or distributor) to the first image (e.g., the digital work) based on a result of said comparison step (e.g., *by comparing the watermark information in the consumer's digital certificate with the watermark information in the digital work identifying the usage rights, unauthorized usage of the digital work can be prevented and authorized usage is allowed; the digital work is then decrypted and downloaded into the user's terminal; column 9, 12-15 and 17*).

Claim 16:

The claim 16 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 15 except additional claimed limitation of recording a transmission in the database of the first image from the first user terminal to the second user terminal.

However, Stefik further discloses the claimed limitation of recording a transmission in the database of the first image from the first user terminal to the second user terminal (e.g., *column 9, 12-15 wherein the second user terminal is the consumer's terminal or the printer terminal and the first user terminal is the publisher or distributor's user terminal wherein the billing records are kept in the Financial Clearinghouse and Credit Servers*).

Claim 17:

The claim 17 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 15 except additional claimed limitation of decoding the digital watermark to determine the first identifier and interrogating the database with the first identifier to retrieve the security level.

However, Stefik further discloses the claimed limitation of decoding the digital watermark to determine the first identifier (e.g., watermark embedded into the digital work) and interrogating the database with the first identifier to retrieve the security level (*e.g., interrogating the publisher's or the distributor's repository which encrypts the document using DES or some other encryption code and the encryption uses a key length that is compatible with requirements of security and legal constraints; and the distributor repository sends the encrypted document and the envelope containing the key along to the consumers workstation wherein the embedded data is extracted from the watermark and decoded. The data extracted is then used to identify who and where the unauthorized reproduction of the digital work came from. Moreover the consumer workstation has a program that decrypts the digital page and sends it to the printer with watermarks; column 9, 12-16*).

Claim 18:

The claim 18 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 15 except additional claimed limitation that the first image's digital watermark includes security level data, and wherein the determining step comprises the step of decoding the digital watermark to determine the security level.

However, Stefik further discloses the claimed limitation that the first image's digital watermark includes security level data (a set of usage rights embedded as watermark in the digital work, column 9, 12-15), and wherein the determining step comprises the step of decoding the digital watermark to determine the security level (*e.g., decrypting the digital work by the decryption means to determine the security level; interrogating the publisher's or the distributor's repository which encrypts the document using DES or some other encryption code and the encryption uses a key length that is compatible with requirements of security and legal constraints; and the distributor repository sends the encrypted document and the envelope containing the key along to the consumers workstation wherein the embedded data is extracted from the watermark and decoded. The data extracted is then used to identify who and where the unauthorized reproduction of the digital work came from. Moreover the consumer workstation has a program that decrypts the digital page and sends it to the printer with watermarks; column 9, 12-16*).

Claim 19:

The claim 19 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 15 except additional claimed limitation that the user security level comprises at least one of a security level for a user and a security level for a user terminal.

However, Stefik further discloses the claimed limitation that the user security level comprises at least one of a security level for a user and a security level for a user terminal (*e.g., watermark information in certificates from the user, the computer itself, and the printer; column 15*).

Claim 20:

The claim 20 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 15 except additional claimed limitation that when the result is a match between the first image security level and the user security level access is allowed.

However, Stefik further discloses the claimed limitation that when the result is a match between the first image security level and the user security level access is allowed (e.g., *by comparing the watermark information in the consumer's digital certificate with the watermark information in the digital work identifying the usage rights, unauthorized usage of the digital work can be prevented and authorized usage is allowed; the digital work is then decrypted and downloaded into the user's terminal; column 9, 12-15 and 17*).

Claim 21:

The claim 21 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 15 except additional claimed limitation that the match indicates that the user security level is equal to or greater than the first image security level.

However, Stefik further discloses the claimed limitation that the match indicates that the user security level is equal to or greater than the first image security level (e.g., it is required that the user or consumer of the digital work needs the identification certificates to access the digital work in the publisher's or distributor's terminal; column 9, 12-15 and 17).

Claim 22:

The claim 22 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 15 except additional claimed limitation of recording access to the image.

However, Stefik further discloses the claimed limitation of recording access to the image (e.g., trust box reports billing to a Financial Clearinghouse and Credit Servers which keeps the billing records; column 9, 12-15).

7. Claim 23:

Stefik teaches a system comprising:

A first user terminal (e.g., the publisher's terminal);

A second user terminal (e.g., the consumer's terminal along with the trusted printer having proper security levels and watermark capabilities; column 9, 12-15 and 17);

A database (the repository having a list of digital works), wherein the first user terminal and the second user terminal are in communication, and the first user terminal and the second user terminal are each in communication with the database (the repository having a list of digital works; figures 1 and 5); and

A gatekeeper (e.g., the on-line distributor's terminal and/or the publisher's terminal in combination with the Credit Server and Billing Clearinghouse; Figures 1 and 5) to regulate the flow of at least a first image between the first user terminal (the digital work in an encrypted form at the publisher's terminal) and the second user terminal (the consumer's terminal), wherein the first image comprises at least a first digital watermark (the encrypted digital work with watermarks) including a first identifier, said gatekeeper to determine a security level associated with the first image (by decrypting the digital work), compare the first image security level with

a user security level, and to allow access by the second user terminal to the first image based on a result of the comparison (*by comparing the watermark information in the consumer's digital certificate with the watermark information in the digital work identifying the usage rights, unauthorized usage of the digital work can be prevented and authorized usage is allowed; the digital work is then decrypted and downloaded into the user's terminal; column 9, 12-15 and 17*).

Claim 24:

The claim 24 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 23 except additional claimed limitation that the gatekeeper records in the database a transmission of the first image from the first user terminal to the second user terminal.

However, Stefik further discloses the claimed limitation that the gatekeeper records in the database a transmission of the first image from the first user terminal to the second user terminal (e.g., billing records are kept in Financial Clearinghouse and Credit Servers; column 9, 12-15).

Claim 25:

The claim 25 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 23 except additional claimed limitation that the gatekeeper comprises software to decode the digital watermark to determine the first identifier, and to interrogate the database with the first identifier to retrieve the security level.

However, Stefik further discloses the claimed limitation that the gatekeeper comprises software (the decryption means to decrypt the watermark and/or the extraction means for

extracting the embedded data from the watermark) to decode the digital watermark to determine the first identifier (column 9, 12-15), and to interrogate the database with the first identifier to retrieve the security level (e.g., *interrogating the publisher's or the distributor's repository which encrypts the document using DES or some other encryption code and the encryption uses a key length that is compatible with requirements of security and legal constraints; and the distributor repository sends the encrypted document and the envelope containing the key along to the consumers workstation wherein the embedded data is extracted from the watermark and decoded. The data extracted is then used to identify who and where the unauthorized reproduction of the digital work came from. Moreover the consumer workstation has a program that decrypts the digital page and sends it to the printer with watermarks; column 9, 12-16*).

Claim 26:

The claim 26 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 23 except additional claimed limitation that the first image digital watermark includes security level data, and wherein the gatekeeper comprises software code to decode the digital watermark to determine the security level data.

However, Stefik further discloses the claimed limitation that the first image digital watermark includes security level data (e.g., different levels of encryption and "scrambling"; column 17), and wherein the gatekeeper comprises software code to decode the digital watermark to determine the security level data (e.g., the embedded data is extracted from the watermark and decoded by the means for extraction of the watermark data; Figure 13 and column 9, 12-15 and 17).

Claim 27:

The claim 27 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 23 except additional claimed limitation that the user security level comprises at least one of a security level for a user and a security level for a user terminal.

However, Stefik further discloses the claimed limitation that the user security level comprises at least one of a security level for a user and a security level for a user terminal (e.g., watermark information in *certificates from the user, the computer itself, and the printer*; column 15).

8. Claim 28:

Stefik teaches a module for use in a network comprising at least a first terminal in communication with a database, said module to monitor the flow of at least a first image at a first network location, the first image comprising at least a first digital watermark including a first identifier, said module comprising:

Means for determining a security level associated with the first image (security levels associated with the digital work; column 9, 12-15 and 17);

Means for comparing a first image security level with a user security level (e.g., *consumer must have an authorizing digital license to access or copy or transfer the digital work to avoid unauthorized copying and the consumer possesses a digital certificate which contains the watermark information; security and watermark capabilities of the user 's terminal are checked; column 12-15 and 17*); and

Means for allowing access to the first image based on a result of said comparison step (e.g., by comparing the watermark information in the consumer's digital certificate with the watermark information in the digital work identifying the usage rights, unauthorized usage of the digital work can be prevented and authorized usage is allowed; the digital work is then decrypted and downloaded into the user's terminal; column 9, 12-15 and 17).

**Claim 29:**

The claim 29 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 28 except additional claimed limitation of a fragile watermark. However, Stefik further discloses the claimed limitation of a fragile watermark (e.g., column 1-2, 9, 12-15 and 17).

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jin-Cheng Wang whose telephone number is (703) 605-1213. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 6:30 (Mon-Thu).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Razavi can be reached on (703) 305-4713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jcw



MICHAEL RAZAVI  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600